

Recombination in sesquidiploid hybrids of *Lycopersicon esculentum* × *Solanum lycopersicoides* and derivatives

C. M. Rick¹, R. T. Chetelat² and J. W. DeVerna²

¹ Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

² Campbell Institute for Research and Technology, Route 1, Box 1314 Davis, CA 95616, USA

Received May 28, 1988; Accepted June 25, 1988 Communicated by G. S. Khush

Summary. Sesquidiploid hybrids of L. esculentum $(L) \times$ S. lycopersicoides (S) were backcrossed to L via L. pennellii (P) as a bridging species in order to detect and measure recombination. Although use of P injected its traits into the populations, the investigated traits were proven to originate from S. The appearance of S traits in diploids in the immediate progeny of sesquidiploids but mainly of derived alien addition types proved the occurrence of recombination at rates varying from 1.6% to 16%. In subsequent BC's, these traits were inherited in dominant Mendelian fashion, except for deviations favoring recurrent parent alleles, sometimes with highly significant deviations from 1:1. Inheritance was investigated in BC and F₂ ex BC for 13 traits with strong phenotypic modifications of morphological, physiological, and isozymic nature. Monogenic determination was confirmed in most instances by tight linkages. For most of the traits, small progenies allowed only rough estimates of linkage intensities, but for Wa (gene for White anthers, universal in S), a test cross with four markers on chromosome 8 established its locus 2 cM distal to dl, proximally on 8L. Also noteworthy is the linkage of Dls, a gene determining sensitivity of flowering to long days, close to sp, situated subterminally on 6L. For the majority of traits, these manifestations of linkage proved that the appearance of S traits resulted from recombination, not alien chromosome substitution - a conclusion also reinforced by observations of chromosome pairing in alien addition types and diploid derivatives. Recombined S alleles have loci in various chromosome positions. Although they were discovered on the shorter chromosomes (nos. 6-12), hybridization barriers precluded tests with the longer chromosomes. Thus, no evidence was found for restriction of recombination to certain chromosomes or chromosomal regions. The prospects therefore appear favorable for deriving valuable traits from the S parent.

Key words: Tomato – *Lycopersicon esculentum* – *Solanum lycopersicoides* – Intergeneric hybridization – Recombination

Introduction

In recent decades, hybridization with wild Lycopersicon spp. has played a major role in tomato improvement. Although such crosses have provided many valuable traits, other desirable characters have been identified in closely related Solanum spp. Amongst the latter, S. lycopersicoides (diploid genome designated SS) can be hybridized with the cultivated tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) (LL), such hybrids having been first reported by Rick (1951). Unique, useful traits already identified in SS are cold tolerance, and resistance to virus diseases, root rots, Botrytis mold, and various insect pests. The $2 \times$ hybrids (LS) were sterile, and the $4 \times$ hybrids (LLSS), although somewhat more fertile, did not hybridize with LL. Thirty-five years later, renewed efforts, entailing crosses between LL and newly synthesized, rather fertile LLSS hybrids yielded two sesquidiploid (LLS) hybrids, which succeeded as a vehicle to transfer genes from SS to LL (Rick et al. 1986). Hybridizations of LLS with LL failed, but succeeded with L. pennellii (PP) to produce alien addition lines (intergeneric trisomics) as well as diploid progeny, which included some obvious recombinants (DeVerna et al. 1987 a, b; Rick et al. 1987).

Despite its extremely high sterility, the $2 \times LS$ hybrid exhibits considerable bivalent chromosome pairing. In pachytene, the chromosomes appear to be completely associated (Menzel 1962; Menzel and Price 1966). This observed association needs careful interpretation because non-homologous pairing has often been observed at this stage in induced chromosomal deficiencies of tomato (Khush and Rick 1968) and in aneuploids and heterozygous chromosomal structural variants of maize (McClintock 1933). Pairing in later meiotic stages, usually reflecting true homology, is considerably reduced, a mean of 10 bivalents per cell were observed by Menzel (1962) and 7.4 by Rick (1951), and the extent of chiasma formation is lessened in the observed bivalents. In terms of conventional cytogenetics, such chromosomal association reflects earlier crossover events. A moderate extent of recombination should therefore be anticipated in these hybrids.

This article reports observations and analyses of segregation in derivatives of the original hybridizations of $LLS \times PP$. Selected diploid and trisomic progeny of the BC_1 were further backcrossed to LL, the persistent strong unilateral barrier characteristic of such hybrids requiring the latter as female parent. These materials proved ideal for the purposes of this investigation. The wide intergeneric gap between the parents guarantees a wealth of genetic differences. Amongst these traits, allozymes offer manifold advantages, as experienced in many previous investigations. Another key advantage is the dominance typical of many wild traits in crosses with domesticates. Although the wild parent undoubtedly harbors recessives, the abundance of character differences, including co-dominant allozymes, ensures an adequate supply of useful dominant markers for our purposes. Further, as manifest in our results, relatively unsophisticated observations in progenies of modest size can often yield highly significant results.

As observed in our initial studies (DeVerna et al. 1987a; Rick et al. 1987), genetic recombination is manifest in the appearance of certain simple dominant S traits in the $2 \times$ offspring of LLS. The first such trait detected was white anthers (*Wa*), exhibited in not only the triplo-8 progeny but also in a single diploid individual. We had the same experience with *Pgi-1^s*, marking chromosome 12, as well as with several other traits.

Materials and methods

By its very nature, genetic recombination can be tested only in segregating progenies. For this purpose we established the following pedigrees. The LLS sesquidiploids (GH266 and 8619-1) were hybridized as pistillate parents with PP, LA716, a selfpollinating accession from Atico (Arequipa), Perú (Rick et al. 1987). Selected diploid and aneuploid progeny from this cross were mated as staminate parents in successive backcrosses to LL, cv 'VF36' (LA490), a consistently good performer under our field and greenhouse conditions. All of the hybridizations were performed in the greenhouse on flowers emasculated in the standard fashion. All selected BC derivatives were routinely selfed, and selected progenies grown as needed.

The segregating winter generations were grown in the greenhouse, the summer populations in the field. All seeds were treated with 2.6% sodium hypochlorite solution for 30 min, rinsed, then sown in standard fashion in the greenhouse in nursery flats of a light organic soil mix, and later transplanted into larger containers for greenhouse culture or to field cultures.

Since PP serves as the recurrent Lycopersicon parent of BC₁, it is critical to discriminate between P and S traits. In most instances this distinction was clear because the morphological differences between the two parents are vast. In others, linkage with S traits established the source. Examples of S traits that are unknown in the P parent (as described in the Results) are white anthers (Wa), daylength sensitivity (Dls), bifurcate inflorescence (Bif), fimbriate (Fmb), frilly (Frl), and laciniate (Lac) leaf margins, Rugose leaf surface (Rug), and the allozymes corresponding to Got-3^s, Got-4^s, and Pgi-1^s. Proof of the S origin of such traits is also manifest in their co-segregation with extra chromosomes in the progeny of alien addition lines. Such segregation, as well as linkage with other marker genes segregating in the same populations, also served to verify the monogenic nature of the segregations.

The standard aceto-carmine smear technique as modified by Khush and Rick (1963) was utilized for chromosome determination. The pertinent allozyme phenotypes and electrophoretic methodology are presented by Chetelat et al. (1987).

Results

Chromosome 6

Amongst the progeny of $LLS \times PP$ (BC₁) appeared a diploid individual (9069-1) that displayed a markedly deviating leaf phenotype. Its leaf margins were deeply incised and somewhat more wavy than those of LP. Since this individual was not trisomic, an assignment to a chromosome could not be made. It was hybridized with LL, and in the consequent BC_2 , grown in the winter greenhouse, we observed much variation in leaf phenotype. A classification for deviant versus normal L leaf type was attempted with a tally of 39 normal and 33 laciniate, although under the low light conditions, identification of classes was not unequivocal. In the same progeny, a strong repulsion with sp (determinate habit from the L parent) and coupling with the tightly linked B(β -orange fruit pigment from the S parent) was also apparent, lending credence to a monogenic segregation for a dominant S gene symbolized Lac.

The third backcross, grown under more favorable field conditions, yielded definitive segregations, the laciniation appearing more pronounced in the LL background than in the mixed genotypes of the earlier generations. An F_2 from +/Lac BC₃ was scorable for three phenotypes (Fig. 1c) with a total segregation of 11 +/+:28 presumed +/Lac:9 presumed Lac/Lac. Any remaining doubts about monogenic segregation were dispelled by tight linkages observed between Lac of the co-segregating sp and B. From BC₃ data for field-grown populations summarized in Table 1, the estimated distances and near-absence of presumed double crossover classes + + + and Lac sp B established the relationship of these loci as Lac-2.5-sp-4.9-B near the distal end of the long arm of chromosome 6. The orientation of this seg-



Fig. 1a-f. Phenotypes of dominant monogenic traits derived from S. lycopersicoides. a Leaf modifications. Upper left pair: normal (+) segregant; upper right, +/Lac; lower left, +/Fmb; lower center, +/Frl in alien addition triplo-7; lower right, +/Frl in diploid. b Three left stems, +/Dls (arrows point to abortive inflorescences); two right stems, +/+. c Left leaf pair, +/+; center presumed +/Lac; right, presumed Lac/Lac. d Inflorescences of +/Bif. e Left leaf, +/+; center, presumed +/Rug; right, presumed Rug/Rug. f Left flower, +/+ center, dl/dl; right: dl/dl^s , +/Wa

ment within the arm is uncertain, however, since previous tests found sp and B too close to determine their order.

The discovery of *Lac* in this part of the tomato genome is reminiscent of the situation with *Pts*, a similarly situated wild gene of somewhat similar phenotype and derived from *L. cheesmanii* f. *minor* (Rick 1980). The two differ, however, in the less intense linkage of *Pts* with *sp* and greater subdivision and much more obtuse segments of the *Pts* leaf.

Descendents of 9145-3, a BC₁ individual with one unidentified extra chromosome, displayed polymorphy for control of flower development. Inflorescences of the parent plant were aborted during the summer months of 1986. The BC₂ was grown in the greenhouse during the subsequent winter, when all plants flowered freely. The same restriction of long days on flowering has also been observed consistently in SS, LS, and LLSS, but LLS develops flowers yet is totally sterile under the same conditions. As in L. chilense, L. hirsutum, and certain races of L. cheesmanii, peruvianum, and pimpinellifolium, long days in the May-September period in our latitude induce bud abortion, but do not interfere with initiation of inflorescences. In the following season, we grew a small F_2 progeny from selfing a segregant in BC₂, which afforded a first opportunity for observing segregation of this character (Fig. 1 b). The observed segregation was 28 sensitive:9 normal flowering. Monogenic control was proven by the fortunate coincidence of linkage with sp, for, of the seven sp segregants, all save one were insensitive. Also presumed recombinants were three insensitive indeterminate individuals. The deviation from random segregation was 4.3 with a corresponding contingency χ^2 of 9.5***. A strong coupling linkage with Lac was also evident, although extraneous segregation in populations so little removed from the wild parent did not allow a clear classification. All of the sp Dls⁺ individuals were also B^+ , but, of course, fruit color could not be ascertained in the Dls classes because inhibition of flowering prevented fruit set. Thus, as tiny as this family is, the data are sufficient to establish a linkage with sp on chromosome 6, thereby adding to the list of wild alleles known in this region. Further backcrosses of Dls into pure LL background should provide lines useful for experimentation on the development and physiology of this type of photoperiodic control of flowering.

Chromosome 7

A triplo-7 BC₁ plant (GH592) was selected as the parent to be hybridized with LL to produce BC₂. As with all of the BC₁, the strict unilateral barrier permitted such crosses only if LL were used as the pistillate parent. A progeny of 85 individuals was grown from this mating, including three triplo-7 and 82 diploids, hence an appreciable male transmission of the extra chromosome. All of the trisomics exhibited the typical autotrisomic features of foreshortened plant parts, strong fasciation of floral parts, and typical leaf modifications, although the latter were considerably exaggerated in the respects that leaves tended to be much smaller and convexly recurved both longitudinally and laterally. The same, though somewhat less extreme, leaf phenotype could also be identified in four diploid segregants, revealing that it is determined by a gene on S chromosome 7, and can be transmitted to diploid progeny via genetic recombination. This leaf phenotype – relatively distinct from that of the aforementioned *Lac* and other leaf shape modifiers inherited from S (Fig. 1 a) – is designated *Frl* (frilly). This distinction was verified by its affiliation with chromosome 7.

The GH592 parent also carried the expected Got-2 and Got-3 S alleles. As explained by DeVerna et al. (1987b), this aneuploid was triply heterozygous for L, P, and S alleles of Got-2, but since both P and S allozymes migrate to the same advanced position, they cannot be distinguished by position alone. For Got-3, this problem does not exist, because the P allozyme is indistinguishable from that of L, and the S band is clearly retarded. The joint segregation for chromosome number and for Frl and Got-3 is summarized in Table 2. Nine plants were $+/Got-3^{s}$, the remainder, +/+. Of the nine, two were triplo-7 +/Frl and three were diploid +/Frl. One triplo-7 +/Frl did not possess the Got-3^s allele, and the same held for four diploid +/Frl. Thus, Got-3^s is transmitted to diploid progeny at about the same rate as S alleles in the previous examples. The single triplo-7 lacking Got-3^s probably possessed an extra recombinant L/S chromosome. The virtually independent segregation of Frl and Got-3 suggests that they are probably rather distantly situated.

Table 1. Linkage segregation in BC₃+sp + $\times \frac{Lac + B}{+ sp +}$

Phenotype		F	Pheno		F		
Lac	+	B	87	Lac	sp	В	1
Lac	+	+	3	Lac	sp	+	4
+	+	В	0	+	sp.	B	6
+	+	+	0	+	sp	+	102

Lac-sp 2.5 cM

sp-B 4.9 cM Lac-B 6.4 cM

Lac - B = 0.4 CM

Table 2.	Segregation in BC ₂ of ++ × triplo-7 $\frac{Frl Got}{+++}$							
	Phenotype							
	++	Frl+	$+Got-3^{s}$	Frl Got-3 ^s				
2n	69	2	4	3	78			
2n + 1	0	1	0	2	3			





Fig. 2. Map positions of markers on chromosome 8 as approximated from data in Table 5. Locus of *Got-4* from Bernatzky and Tanksley (1986)

Chromosome 8

The first evidence of L/S recombination was detected for this chromosome in the segregation of Wa, the gene determining the white anther trait that is universal in *S. lycopersicoides*. As explained previously (DeVerna et al. 1987 a, b; Rick et al. 1987), localization to chromosome 8 was evident from the first observation of this striking character in triplo-8 individuals. The nearly constant association with triplo-8 and its absence in other alien addition types provided incontrovertible proof of its locus on chromosome 8, and, along with data presented here, for monogenic control (DeVerna et al. 1987 a). The appearance in BC₁ of a single diploid *Wa* individual indicated either alien substitution of S chromosome 8 or genetic exchange between L and S chromosomes.

Our tests of Wa included a cross between diploid LL and a BC₁ triplo-8 segregant (as male parent). In the total of 61 BC₂'s, 1 was triplo-8 Wa, 8 were 2n Wa, the remaining 52 were diploid +. The results demonstrated that S chromosome 8 can be transmitted as an extra by male gametes, and that genes on this chromosome can be transmitted to diploid progeny. Further data from this experiment and from other tests to be reported below revealed that other genes on this chromosome (dl^s , $Got-4^s$) were also transmitted to diploid progeny, but not always in concert. This breakdown in joint transmission proves that crossing over occurred between L and S chromosomes.

Our new research included testing segregations of Wa (derived from the diploid GH577) in progenies approaching purity for the LL background and testing linkage relations with selected markers of chromosome 8. Several segregations of the former type were observed as summarized in Table 3. The observed frequencies fit expected Mendelian ratios, suggesting the absence of phe-

Table 2	Linkage segregation in BC 1 budlagy	+	+	dls	Wa	+
Table 5.	Linkage segregation in BC ₄ tou ut ue ×	1	bu	dl	+	ae

Parental + + dl^s Wa + 66 Single + bu dl + ae 34 l + dl^s Wa + 33 + + dl^s Wa + 33 + + dl + ae 13 l bu dl^s Wa + 2 + + dl^s Wa + 2 + + dl^s Wa + 2 l bu dl Wa + 32 l bu dl Wa + 32 l bu dl Wa + 32 l bu dl Wa + 2 l bu dl Wa + 2 l bu dl Wa + 2 l bu dl Wa 40 4	Crossovers	Phenotypic classes							
Single l bu dl + ae 66 Single + bu dl + ae 34 l + dl^{8} Wa + 33 $+$ + dl^{8} Wa + 2 $+$ + dl^{8} + ae 3 l bu dl^{8} Wa + 2 $+$ + dl^{8} + ae 3 l bu dl Wa + 3 $+$ + dl^{8} Wa ae 32 l bu dl + $+$ 15 Double + bu dl^{8} Wa + 2 l + dl + ae 2 + bu dl Wa + 0 l + dl + dl^{8} + ae 0 + bu dl Wa + 0 l + bu dl Wa + 0 l + bu dl^{8} Ha = 0 Triple + bu dl^{8} + ae 0 $+$ dl Ha + dl^{8} Ha = 0 Triple + bu dl^{8} + ae 0 $+$ dl Ha + dl^{9} + ae 0 $+$ dl Ha + dl^{9} + ae 0 $+$ dl Ha + dl^{9} Ha = 0 l bu dl^{8} Ha = 0 l bu dl^{9} Ha = 0 l bu dl^{9} Ha = 0 l ha dl^{9} Ha = 0	Parental	+	+	dl ^s	Wa	+	66		
Single + bu dl + ae 34 l + + dl + ae 13 l bu dl + + ae 13 l bu dl + + 2 + + dl + ae 33 l bu dl Wa + 2 + + dl Wa + 32 l bu dl Wa + 32 l bu dl Wa + 2 + + dl Wa + 2 + bu dl + + 15 Double + + dl Wa + 0 + bu dl + + ae 0 + + dl Wa ae 0 + + dl Wa ae 0 + + dl		l	bu	dl	+	ae	66		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Single	+	bu	dl	+	ae	34		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-	1	+	dls	Wa	+	33		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dl	+	ae	13		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dls	Wa	+	2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dls	+	ae	3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dl	Wa	+	3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dl^{s}	Wa	ae	32		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dl	+	+	15		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Double	+	bu	dls	Wa	+	2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	+	dl	+	ae	2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	bu	dl	Wa	+	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	+	dl^s	+	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	bu	dl	+	+	5		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	+	dl ^s	Wa	ae	15		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Double	+	+	dl	Wa	+	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dls	+	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dl	+	+	6		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dl ^s	Wa	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dls	+	+	1		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	bu	dl	Wa	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Triple	+	bu	dls	+	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	+	dl	Wa	+	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	bu	dl ^s	Wa	ae	1		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		l	+	dl	+	+	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	bu	dl	Wa	ae	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	+	dls	+	+	0		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	+	dl	Wa	ae	0		
Quadruple + bu dl^s + + 0 l + dl Wa ae 0		1	bu	dls	+	+	0		
l + dl Wa ae 0	Quadruple	+	bu	dls	+	+	0		
		1	+	dl	Wa	ae	0		

nomena engendered by the intergeneric hybridization that drastically interfere with meiosis or transmission. The linkage data summarized in Table 3 were derived from a test cross of a $BC_3 + /Wa$ segregant to a standard *l-bu-dl-ae* tester. The F_1 necessarily segregated for +/+and +/Wa, hence the latter were selected for hybridization (as male parents) to the homozygous marker stock. We were surprised to find that all $F_1 + Wa$ had free anthers, characteristic of dl, and the same phenotype was seen in all 299 testcross progeny, demonstrating that an allele (dl^s) linked with Wa had been transmitted in the segment of S chromosome 8 being investigated. Fortunately, for our purposes, dls does not manifest the trichome modifications associated with dl (Rick 1947), thus permitting discrimination between the two phenotypes. The five genes segregated in orderly 1:1 fashion. The array of estimated locus-to-locus distances together with the frequencies or absences of various multiple crossover classes summarized in Fig. 2 point incontrovertibly to a site on the long arm of chromosome 8 at approximately position 31, 2 cM distal to dl. This determination is in close agreement with the aforementioned observation of tight linkage between dl and Wa. The data also provide the first good approximation of the ae locus, which heretofore had been known only to be situated toward the distal end of 8L.

 $Got-4^s$, another chromosome 8 marker, segregated in certain of the populations of these new experiments. This allele was lacking in GH577, thus demonstrating recombination in its origin. Since subsequent linkage tests were made with descendents of this individual, they afforded no opportunity for including *Got-4* in the linkage analysis.

Chromosome 9

The data for this chromosome were derived from the BC_2 issue of the cross between LL as pistillate parent × GH646 – one of a series of trisomic plants identified as triplo-9 in the progeny of LLS. Even though the trisomic was the staminate parent, an extra chromosome 9 was transmitted to 2 of the 84 progeny. Also segregating in this family were two dominant traits that were consistently observed in the triplo-9's in BC_1 : *Fmb* – fimbriate leaf – and *Bif* – bifurcate inflorescence. In contrast

Table 4. Segregation in BC₂ of $++\times$ Triplo-9 $\frac{Bif Fmb}{++}$

	Phenotype					
	++	Bif+	+Fmb	Bif Fmb		
2n	65	4	10	3	82	
2n + 1	0	0	0	2	2	

Table 5. Segregations for various monogenic traits

to Lac, Fmb conditions greater leaf serration and margins are so ruffled that the lower surface is frequently displayed (Fig. 1a). We do not pretend that we could distinguish both leaf types and Frl in cosegregating progeny, but the unique linkage relations discriminate between the three and leave no doubt that they are determined by alleles at three independent loci. The Bif inflorescence is distinguished from the normal by its subdivision into two equal branches with a resultant increase in floral display (Fig. 1d). The cosegregations of these traits in diploid individuals are summarized in Table 4. Each of the traits appeared in each of the 2 trisomic individuals, Bif also in 7 diploids and Fmb in 13 diploids. Amongst diploids, the cosegregation of Bif and Fmb appears independent. These data demonstrate that recombination permitted the transmittal of each trait to diploid progeny, and that their respective loci are separated by a relatively large map distance.

Chromosome 12

In BC₁, a single individual (GH617) was discovered that was diploid, but variant in its $Pgi-1^p/Pgi-1^s$ genotype. Although no examination was made for 6Pgdh-2, another marker of chromosome 12, it is evident that it must have carried the S allele because the corresponding allozyme segregated in a later generation. BC₂ segregated $17 + /Pgi-1^s$ and $26 + /Pgi-1^p$ (Table 5). Determination of $6Pgdh-2^s$ in BC₃ was possible in most, but not all segregants. Of the 4 + /6Pgdh-2 individuals, three were also $+ /Pgi-1^s$, the other three undetermined, but one individual heterozygous for the former locus was +/+ for Pgi-1. Thus, even though known to be linked at 12 cM

Gene	Ch'some	Generation	Mut/Mut	+/Mut	+/+	Total	$\chi^{2^{\mathbf{a}}}$	Recombination (%)
Bif	?	BC ₂ ex $2n+1$		7	75	82		8.5
Dls	6L	F, ex BC,	25		12	37		
Got-4 ^s	8L	$BC_2 ex 2n + 1$		1	59	60		1.6
Fmb	9	$BC_2 ex 2n+1$		13	69	82		16
Frl	7	BC_{2} ex $2n+1$		4	78	82		4.0
Lac	6L	$BC_3 ex 2n+1$		95	108	203		
		$F_2 \text{ ex } BC_3$	9	28	11	48		
Pgi-1 ^s	12	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}_{2}$ ex $2\mathbf{n}$		8	26	34	9.5***	
		2		9	52	61	30***	
		$BC_3 ex 2n$		6	22	28	8.0**	
Rug	6L	$F_2 \text{ ex } BC_2$	38		10	48		
sp	6L	$BC_3 ex 2n + 1$	113		90	203		
Wa	8L	$BC_2 ex 2n+1$		8	52	60		13
		$F_2 ex BC_3$	71		24	92		
		BC_{2} ex $2n$		49	50	99		
		$BC_3 ex 2n$		22	28	50		
		BC_{4} ex 2n		14	18	32		
		\mathbf{BC}_{4}^{T} (linkage)		154	145	299		

^a Reported only if significant

according to Bernatzky and Tanksley (1986), at least one recombinant was obtained. Furthermore, as noted above, segregation of Pgi-1 deviated strongly from the expected 1:1 - a matter to be considered in the Discussion. Further study will be required to ascertain the nature of the aberrant segregations and to ascertain if anymorphological traits are linked to the isozymic loci.

Unlocated S markers

Div (divergent anthers): For reasons to be discussed, Div is not allelic with dl^s , hence the application of a new symbol. It was first seen in a deviant diploid BC₁ individual (GH608). Although the separated anther trait of *L. pennellii* is slightly expressed in LP hybrids, this plant was exceptional in that all anthers of all its flowers were dialytic. Contrary to the expression of dl, but like that of dl^s , Div is not associated with the absence of marginal anther hairs that interlock to bind anthers together in LL (Rick 1947). This plant was also recombinant for the S allele of the chromosome 7 marker Got-2.

The question of relationship between Div and dl^s is readily resolved by considerations of dominance and linkage relations. With respect to the former, they differ in the dominance of Div and recessiveness of dl^s , and in the fact that the S parent, even if by chance heterozygous for a dominant and recessive allele at the same locus, could not have transmitted more than one allele, since all of our progenies trace to one LLS sesquidiploid.

With regard to the linkage argument, the experience with Wa established the order: Got-4 - dl - Wa on the long arm of chromosome 8, dl being in close proximity to Wa. Now, if Div were indeed identical to dl^s, one might expect that either or both Got-4^s and Wa be transmitted with the free anther trait, but GH608 was deviant for neither. Since transmission of dl^s without either of these markers would require a double crossover within a short chromosome segment, it is highly unlikely that this trait is determined by dl^s. The aforementioned presence of Got-2^s, suggesting, but not proving, that Div might be situated on chromosome 7, is also concordant with this conclusion.

By oversight, we failed to test GOT segregation in BC_2 , but were able to do so in one small family of BC_3 . Although in the latter, *Got-3* did not segregate, *Got-2* did for the P or S allele. For linkage detection, the source of the variant allele is immaterial, as the P allele would be expected to segregate in *trans* phase and the S allele in *cis*. The cosegregation was:

Got-2 + +/s + 5 4 + /Div 8 5

These data suggest independence, certainly not tight linkage. Thus, although available knowledge is not very enlightening, it is clear that Div is not allelic with dl, and if situated on chromosome 7, must be at a considerable distance from *Got-2*, thereby limiting it to a distal site on either the long or short arm.

Rug (rugose leaf): This trait was first observed in a single F_2 family derived from selfing a BC₂ derivative of GH588 (triplo-2). The family in question segregated distinctly into 38 rugose: 10 normal, and amongst the rugose individuals, degrees of intensity of leaf blistering could be discerned, suggesting a separation of +/Rugversus Rug/Rug (Fig. 1e). Leaves of rugose individuals were characterized by a dark green color, the upper laminar surface roughened by convex interveinal swellings even more extreme than in the familiar dwarf (d) phenotype. Their leaf segments appear to be somewhat broadened and their tips blunt, although these characters do not always appear to be associated. Since this trait was not detected in the grandparental BC_1 plant, we cannot be certain that Rug is affiliated with chromosome 2. Although this relationship is suggested, clearly more progenies need to be tested, and segregation with $Prx-2^s$ and other pertinent markers assayed.

Discussion

Monogenic segregation

It is of interest to consider the segregation of the various monogenic traits described here. For this aspect, we are not concerned with segregations in BC₂ from alien addition trisomics because transmission of mutant gametes would be a function of chromosome substitution or recombination between S and L chromosomes. But in subsequent generations of a strictly diploid nature, it is worthwhile comparing observed versus expected BC ratios. In Table 5, nine relevant progenies are listed for the segregation of Lac, Pgi-1s, sp, and Wa. Observed values do not deviate significantly from the expected, except for the segregation of $Pgi-1^s$ in BC₂ and BC₃, in which from 2-5 times as many normal homozygotes than heterozygotes were counted, the deviation being highly significant in each family. In the majority of the other populations, normal homozygotes also exceeded heterozygotes, although none of the deviations was significant. This type of deviation favoring alleles of the recurrent parent is common in backcross segregations of wide crosses, and many examples have been reported. Stephens (1939) first called attention to this phenomenon in his studies of interspecific hybrids of Gossypium; Rick (1963, 1969, 1971) reported numerous examples in Lycopersicon. The net effect of such deviations for the entire genotype would be a significantly more rapid than expected reconstitution of the recurrent parent genotype. We have indeed observed this trend in the segregation of quantitative characters; for example, in both interspecific and intergeneric combinations, recovery of the large fruit size of the LL recurrent parent is so rapid that it is fully achieved in numerous segregants of BC_3 . In general, this trend should expedite the goals of plant breeders and other investigators in their attempts to rapidly introgress one or a few wild alleles into the genetic background of the cultivated species.

Recombination or substitution?

The appearance of S traits in diploid progeny of sesquidiploid hybrids or alien addition trisomics could be explained theoretically by either genetic recombination between L and S chromosomes or by substitution of whole S for L chromosomes. The following arguments can be considered.

(a) Substitution is unlikely from cytological considerations. According to observed meiotic behavior in LLS and in alien addition types, the S chromosome is usually unpaired, and, if transmitted, it does so as an extra chromosome (Rick et al. 1986; DeVerna et al. 1987a). Even in the rarely observed trivalents, it is more likely that the two L chromosomes orient in opposite directions, so that each gamete would receive an L chromosome and an occasional extra S.

(b) Alien substitution heterozygotes should exhibit pairing disruption. Although we have not been able to examine the cytology of all derived diploid variants, our examinations of such individuals in BC₁ and later generations have not detected this phenomenon. For example, the three diploid BC₁ sources of Pgi-1^s, Lac, and Wa referred to above consistently displayed 12 bivalents in the diakinesis and metaphase of the first meiotic division. When examined, later derivatives of these and other S alleles show similar chromosome behavior.

(c) Alien substitution heterozygotes and homozygotes should be rather strongly modified in phenotype – stronger, in fact than alien addition races. We have not seen such extreme modification in the diploid variants.

(d) Unequivocal evidence of recombination has been detected genetically for nearly all genes studied in this survey. Thus, for transmission of genes from S chromosomes 6, 7, 8, 9, and 12, evidence of recombination was obtained from inheritance of traits from LLS hybrids, from alien addition types, or from diploid plants in BC₂ or later generations. Thus, for chromosome 6, recombination was detected between *Lac* and the *B* and *sp* markers; for chromosome 7, between *Frl*, *Bif*, and *Got-3*; for chromosome 8, between *Wa* and *Got-4* and four other standard markers; for chromosome 9, between *Bif* and *Fmb*; for chromosome 12 between *Pgi-1* and 6Pgdh-2.

The total evidence therefore strongly supports recombination as the responsible phenomenon, although substitution cannot be ruled out in all instances.

Extent of recombination

Transmission of six monogenic S traits from BC_1 trisomics to BC_2 is summarized in Table 5. The frequencies of transmission amongst diploid progeny vary from 1.6% for *Got-4* to 16% for *Fmb*, the mean rate being 8.7%. In no instance did we fail to obtain desired recombinants, even in relatively small progenies. Thus, variable as these rates are, none are too low to block efforts to incorporate investigated genes from S into L, yet the rates appear to be low enough for us to achieve one of our goals – the development of a complete panel of S addition lines.

The data include an anomalous situation for chromosome 8: the very low rate (1.6%) for Got-4 versus the much higher rate (13.3%) for the linked Wa in the same progeny. The test clearly needs repeating. If indeed verified, it would point to greatly reduced pairing frequency in the region of Got-4. Since the latter must lie rather close to the centromere, such a relationship might mean that pairing and crossing-over are less likely to occur in pericentric regions – a phenomenon common in LP hybrids (Rick 1969, 1971).

Otherwise, the experience with these materials in attempted introgressions is straightforward. The plan is to backcross in consecutive generations. The first and/or second backcross from the sesquidiploid is made in order to transmit the S allele via recombination; as experienced, the rates are variable according to locus. After recombined, the allele should generally be inherited in normal Mendelian fashion, albeit with frequently reduced transmission of the wild allele. It is noteworthy that Clausen and Cameron (1957) reported essentially the same experience with introgression of traits into Nicotiana tabacum from other tobacco species. As they demonstrated, it is possible without cytological examination, but by segregation ratios and aneuploid phenotypes, to ascertain how traits are transmitted, first via the extra wild chromosome, later after recombination between wild and cultivated chromosomes, via normal segregation.

Limits of recombination

It is important to consider these results with respect to chromosomes and chromosomal regions from which recombinants have been derived. More concise mapping must be done before this matter can be satisfactorily evaluated. But perhaps it is worthwhile to evaluate the results using the present extent of our knowledge of the loci of the respective genes.

The best mapped loci are those of dl^s and Wa, which are fairly close to the centromere on 8L. Although mapped less precisely, *Dls* and *Lac* must be distally situated on 6L. *Got-2* lies in the proximal part of 7L, whilst *Got-3* is situated at the end of the rather poorly explored 7S. Although the map of chromosome 12 is poorly understood, the best version, developed by Bernatzky and Tanksley (1986) shows Pgi-1 to be situated internally. The chromosomal location of other introgressed S genes of this study is not known; we shall be mapping them to the extent that our resources permit. Another shortcoming is that we have no way of knowing whether or not this small sample is typical of all characters that can be transferred by recombination. But a comparison of the exotic characters exhibited by alien addition types with those that we have been able to introgress suggests that recombination is not restricted to certain characters or regions of the genome. Thus, the introgressed alleles do not appear to be limited to distal regions, where recombination might seem easiest to achieve.

Another considerations is the chromosomal distribution of the located S alleles. Thus far, they have been limited to the shorter chromosomes (no. 6-12) of the complement; however, we suspect that this delimitation does not necessarily represent a nonrandomness of recombination, rather a restriction imposed on our tests by crossing barriers. At the time these crosses were made with the alien addition types, the widespread Lycopersicon unilateral barrier would not permit them to accept pollen of L sources. Also, pollen of addition lines with the longer chromosomes was too defective to permit their use as staminate parents. As our research proceeds, we anticipate that it might become possible to circumvent these barriers. Thus, none of the data suggests that introgression of S genes is limited in any marked fashion to specific parts of the complement.

Finally, we must conclude from this rather cursory survey that the prospects are good for transmission of a great wealth of variation from S. lycopersicoides. Although we have dealt with rather small progenies in the segregation of a relatively small number of traits of rather limited distribution in the genome, we have been able to introgress 13 monogenic traits from S into L. esculentum of various degrees of purity and thus far have not met with severe obstacles. In the case of leaf shape modification, Fmb, Frl, Lac, and Rug are genes of rather striking effect, even in heterozygotes, and in concert could conceivably account for most of the leaf shape differences between the two species. Thus, this experience certainly seems to bode well for breeding various traits, including those of economic importance, from this fascinating wildling.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to K. Baergen and W. Chmielewska for management of the plantings and to D. G. Hunt for editorial advice.

References

- Bernatzky R, Tanksley SD (1986) Toward a saturated linkage map in tomato based on isozymes and random cDNA sequences. Genetics 112:887–898
- Chetelat RT, DeVerna JW, Rick CM (1987) Isozyme analysis of a Lycopersicon esculentum – Solanum lycopersicoides sesquidiploid hybrid and derived alien addition lines. Rep Tomato Genet Coop 37:39-41
- Clausen RE, Cameron DR (1957) Inheritance in Nicotiana tabacum. XXVIII. The cytogenetics of introgression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 43:908-913
- DeVerna JW, Chetelat RT, Rick CM (1987a) Cytogenetic, electrophoretic, and morphological analysis of progeny of sesquidiploid Lycopersicon esculentum – Solanum lycopersicoides hybrids × L. pennellii. Biol Zentralbl 106:417-428
- DeVerna JW, Chetelat RT, Rick CM, Stevens MA (1987b) Introgression of Solanum lycopersicoides germplasm. In: Nevins DB, Jones RA (eds) Tomato biotechnology. Alan Liss, New York, pp 27-36
- Khush GS, Rick CM (1963) Meiosis in hybrids between Lycopersicon esculentum and Solanum pennellii. Genetica 33: 167-183
- Khush GS, Rick CM (1968) Cytogenetic analysis of the tomato genome by means of induced deficiencies. Chromosoma 23:452-484
- McClintock B (1933) The association of non-homologous parts of chromosomes in mid-prophase of meiosis in Zea mays. Zellforschung 19:191-237
- Menzel MY (1962) Pachytene chromosomes of the intergeneric hybrid Lycopersicon esculentum × Solanum lycopersicoides. Am J Bot 49:605-615
- Menzel MY, Price JM (1966) Fine structure of synapsed chromosomes in F₁ Lycopersicon esculentum – Solanum lycopersicoides and its parents. Am J Bot 53:1079-1086
- Rick CM (1947) Partial suppression of hair development indirectly affecting fruitfulness and proportion of cross-pollination in a tomato mutant. Am Nat 81:185-202
- Rick CM (1951) Hybrids between Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. and Solanum lycoperiscoides Dun. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 37:741-744
- Rick CM (1963) Differential zygotic lethality in a tomato species hybrid. Genetics 48:1498-1507
- Rick CM (1969) Controlled introgression of chromosomes of Solanum pennellii into Lycopersicon esculentum: segregation and recombination. Genetics 26:753-768
- Rick CM (1971) Further studies on segregation and recombination in backcross derivatives of a tomato species hybrid. Biol Zentralbl 91:209-220
- Rick CM (1980) Petroselinum (Pts), a new marker for chromosome 6. Rep Tomato Genet Coop 30:32
- Rick CM, DeVerna JW, Chetelat RT, Stevens MA (1986) Meiosis in sesquidiploid hybrids of *Lycopersicon esculentum* and *Solanum lycopersicoides*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83: 3580-3585
- Rick CM, DeVerna JW, Chetelat RT, Stevens MA (1987) Potential contributions of wide crosses to improvement of processing tomatoes. Acta Hortic 200:45-55
- Stephens SG (1949) The cytogenetics of speciation in *Gossypium*. I. Selective elimination of the donor parent genotype in interspecific backcrosses. Genetics 34:627-637